```
07nWavaC
1
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2
     AVALON HOLDINGS CORP.,
 3
                   Plaintiff,
 4
                                           18 Civ. 7291 (DLC)
               V.
5
     GUY GENTILE and MINTBROKER
     INTERNATIONAL, LTD.,
 6
7
                Defendants.
8
     NEW CONCEPT ENERGY, INC.,
 9
                   Plaintiff,
                                            18 Civ. 7291 (DLC)
10
                V.
11
     GUY GENTILE and MINTBROKER
     INTERNATIONAL, LTD.,
12
                   Defendants.
      ----x Conference
13
14
15
                                             New York, N.Y.
                                             July 23, 2024
                                             12:00 p.m.
16
17
     Before:
18
                            HON. DENISE COTE,
19
                                             District Judge
20
                              APPEARANCES
21
     DAVID LOPEZ
     MIRIAM D. TAUBER
22
          Attorneys for Plaintiffs
23
     OLSHAN FROME WOLOSKY LLP
          Attorneys for Defendant Gentile
     BY: THOMAS J. FLEMING
24
25
```

```
1
               (Case called; appearances noted)
 2
               THE COURT:
                          Mr. Fleming, is your client's name
 3
      pronounced genteel?
 4
               MR. FLEMING: I believe it's pronounced genteel, your
 5
      Honor.
 6
               THE COURT: Could you please stand.
7
               MR. FLEMING: Oh. Yes, your Honor.
               Yes, I believe that's how it's pronounced.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: How?
10
               MR. FLEMING: Genteel.
11
               THE COURT: Genteel. Thank you.
12
               Sir, your client isn't here.
13
               MR. FLEMING: That's correct, your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: And why is that?
15
               MR. FLEMING: I -- I don't know why he's not here,
16
      your Honor. I haven't spoken with him in quite some time. I
17
      was served with discovery, my law firm, in this case, and I
18
     provided answers as to his last known whereabouts that I had,
19
      and I have no answer as to why he's not here. He did not
20
      retain me for postjudgment proceedings. I haven't discussed
21
     postjudgment proceedings with him, and I have no idea why he's
22
     not here other than I assume he doesn't want to be here.
23
               THE COURT: And are you representing him in any other
2.4
      connection at the moment?
25
               MR. FLEMING: Yes, your Honor. I am counsel of record
```

25

when it came to filing our objections to the report and

judgment in this case, I believe, came down early 2024, and

recommendation, I spoke to Mr. Gentile about that. I don't have a precise timeline in '24, but you know, since the judgment came down, I don't know if I've spoken to him.

Certainly not in July or June.

THE COURT: And do you communicate with him by email or mail?

MR. FLEMING: Not by mail, your Honor. I have a last known address. I send whatever I get, including my bills, I send to the last known address, and it's come back return to sender for, you know, nobody there.

THE COURT: So he's not paying your bills?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ FLEMING: He has not paid my bills for quite some time, your Honor.

THE COURT: And --

MR. FLEMING: I had discovery; they asked for all the paper information, which I gave them.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MR. FLEMING: They served discovery on my law firm, so my law firm was served with a restraining notice along with information requests, which I complied with and gave them information about when I was paid, who paid me and the amounts and all that stuff.

THE COURT: When you say they, you're referring to the plaintiffs?

MR. FLEMING: Yes, I am, your Honor.

nWarraC

THE COURT: What is the last known address you have by email or other address for the defendant -- Mr. Gentile.

MR. FLEMING: I have I don't have it with me, your Honor. It's an address in Puerto Rico, which I answered in the discovery. And I have an email address for him. I could check on my phone, if you'd like. The last email I sent came back.

And his email is not in my contacts. I might be able to find it by searching emails.

THE COURT: And did you provide the email address to the plaintiffs' counsel?

MR. FLEMING: I wasn't requested for that. That wasn't requested, your Honor. The discovery asked for a last known address or home address. If they want that, I'd be glad to give it to them.

THE COURT: Great. If you could provide that to plaintiffs' counsel, I'd appreciate it. Can you do that later today?

MR. FLEMING: I'd be glad to do that.

I might also add, your Honor, it might help inform the Court, Mr. Gentile's whereabouts are sort of known to the plaintiffs. He was on trial earlier this month in Florida. The SEC brought a proceeding against him, and I know what I read on PACER, which I've talked with plaintiff's counsel; they read PACER too, and they know that he's been on trial down there. He has counsel in that case. Perhaps they have contact

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

information. They have subpoena power to go seek out information regarding Mr. Gentile. I don't know what they've done, but perhaps his counsel in that case know where he can be found if that's what they're looking for.

THE COURT: So you are pursuing an appeal on his behalf even though you are not in communication with him and he has not paid you.

MR. FLEMING: That's -- he owes me money. He has paid me in the past, but he currently owes me money, yes.

THE COURT: And you're not able to communicate with him despite your efforts to do so.

MR. FLEMING: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you're pursuing an appeal on his behalf in those circumstances.

MR. FLEMING: I am, your Honor.

THE COURT: Doesn't that seem unusual?

MR. FLEMING: It is unusual, your Honor. The question is really, is it improper, and I think it's not improper in the I think there are many defendants who have appeals. least. They're often unavailable to their attorneys. In the criminal world that happens. In the civil world, I mean the appeal is a legal issue. He authorized me and he asked me to file the appeal and to prosecute the appeal. And I don't know what he would add -- he's a layperson -- to analyzing the court's I mean we discussed with him at length when the case

1 was

was in the district court through what had happened and got information from him, but now that the record is closed, I don't know what he would add to it.

So your Honor, I do feel, yes, of course, it's unusual. One generally likes to talk to a client and get feedback, but Mr. Gentile is not an attorney, and I don't know what he would really add to the appeal. So I feel that I can pursue the appeal and file an appeal on his behalf.

THE COURT: No, I'm not suggesting that you can't formulate arguments. It's just unusual in a civil appeal, I think, for an attorney who is not being paid to pursue the appeal and can't communicate with their client to continue to pursue the appeal. But thank you for sharing that with me.

I'll hear from you, Mr. Lopez.

MR. LOPEZ: We have been diligently trying to locate Mr. Gentile. The last known address that was given to us turns out to have been an apartment in San Juan, Puerto Rico, that was transferred to his wife by Mr. Gentile, and they both seem now to have disappeared.

I do not know of what sanction really could be applied that would be more effective than \$16 million he already owes; that is to say, monetary sanction. Under the C.P.L.R., there is a provision for civil arrest for a party who refuses to participate or is believed to be about to flee the jurisdiction, and I would make an application to your Honor

today that that provision of the C.P.L.R. be utilized and that a bench warrant issue for his arrest.

In addition, although a monetary penalty will probably not be effective, that should not excuse him from paying one, and so I will also ask that an appropriate monetary sanction be applied.

THE COURT: Have you attempted to reach Mr. Gentile through the Florida proceedings or his counsel in Florida?

MS. TAUBER: I believe not that recently, but maybe sometime since the judgment we have tried to contact Mr. Ford and get the information. I think that we weren't successfully -- it's a back-and-forth correspondence, but we were about to subpoen the Ford law firm and the Florida lawyers for information on Mr. Gentile, as Mr. Fleming suggests.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Who is Mr. Ford?

MS. TAUBER: Oh. Mr. Ford is his former counsel in this case and he's also, I believe, currently his lawyer in the Florida case.

THE COURT: So you have not recently reached out to Mr. Ford in connection with the Florida litigation and contact information for Mr. Gentile?

MS. TAUBER: We reached out to Mr. Ford, I believe, when the judgment was first entered by your Honor a few months ago in this case, and we had some back-and-forth

2.4

correspondence. He was traveling. We had a few times we were going to speak by phone, and that didn't happen. And we will subpoena him. I think I will send the subpoenas out today to make sure, to Mr. Ford.

THE COURT: Mr. Lopez, what notice was provided to Mr. Gentile of today's proceeding?

MR. LOPEZ: Notice was provided to his attorney of record -- Mr. Fleming.

THE COURT: Mr. Fleming, what steps did you take to provide Mr. Gentile with notice of today's proceeding?

provide Mr. Gentile with notice of today's proceeding?

MR. FLEMING: I sent the materials I got to the address I had in Puerto Rico. I also sent an email to

Mr. Ford, asking him to have Mr. Gentile contact me. The

Florida lawyers are New York lawyers; they're here in New York. They were counsel of record in this case. I replaced them. So they're not exactly hard to find. And while Mr. Lopez is colorful in saying Mr. Gentile disappeared, according to PACER, he testified in the Florida court on July 9, and -- I think

July 8 and 9. I may have the dates off, but it was in July he testified as a witness. So he's not truly disappeared.

THE COURT: And when you sent an email to Mr. Ford, what response did you get?

MR. FLEMING: No response.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Just to put some context on the record, a judgment was

entered in March of this year for over \$8 million plus prejudgment interest against Mr. Gentile. An appeal was taken in April, but no bond was filed in connection with that appeal, and therefore, the plaintiffs are undertaking an enforcement action; in connection with that action are taking discovery. As I understand it, at the end of May, on May 30, they served a subpoena and deposition notice and a restraining notice. The deposition was to occur on July 1, and Mr. Gentile failed to appear.

I have an application in connection with today's proceeding; specifically, a request for a motion to compel, a deposition to occur on August 1 and for documents to be produced on August 1 under threat of contempt. I now have an oral application for a bench warrant to be issued and additional unspecified monetary sanctions to be levied.

Mr. Fleming is appearing in court today as the attorney of record for Mr. Gentile. He had previously made a motion to withdraw, which I have granted conditionally on him providing the plaintiffs with a means to effect service on Mr. Gentile.

Mr. Fleming, I want to make sure I'm accurate in what I am describing here, but it's my understanding that Mr. Gentile has not authorized you to give the plaintiffs in this litigation an address or means to effect service on him. Am I right?

2.4

MR. FLEMING: That is correct, your Honor. And I didn't want to provide the old address I had because I didn't think it would be effective, so I don't have -- you're correct.

THE COURT: And you're taking the position that you have no means effectively today to ensure service on

MR. FLEMING: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: So --

Mr. Gentile. Am I right?

MR. FLEMING: I don't think I -- if service on me is effective, so be it, but I don't have the -- I don't -- I can't reliably tell you that I've actually delivered materials to Mr. Gentile. I sent them out to the last known address I have, and some material comes back from time to time saying nobody's there. I think the plaintiffs had the same experience, that the apartment in Puerto Rico was either uninhabited or -- something. I don't know.

THE COURT: And with respect to the email address that you have for Mr. Gentile, does he respond to emails that you send him at that address?

MR. FLEMING: No. At the email address I have I got a notice from Microsoft, or whoever, saying the address was not working. And I happen to have a phone number, your Honor; I got a message that that's disconnected.

THE COURT: OK.

Mr. Lopez, I'm going to require you to reach out to

2.4

Mr. Ford and do whatever investigation is appropriate in connection with the Florida litigation brought by, as I understand it, the SEC to learn how service was made in that litigation effectively on Mr. Gentile and/or to otherwise understand how he can be reached. I'm going to deny your application made orally today for a bench warrant. This is what I understand: Mr. Gentile is --

You know, as I think about this, Mr. Fleming -- excuse me one second -- I don't know that the Court of Appeals would be very happy to know that any ruling they issue on an appeal you could not effectively communicate with your client and that he is not returning your messages, not paying you; that you don't have an email address, a phone number or mailing address for him that is effective.

Now, the Court of Appeals has its own rules with respect to the requirements of counsel who appear before it on behalf of clients. I'm not going to presume to tell you what those rules require, but I know, for me, if I have an attorney in court taking positions on behalf of a client they could no longer communicate with, such that if I ordered them to do something they could not effectively bring their client in to court to respond to that order, I would expect counsel to tell me.

MR. FLEMING: I understand that, your Honor, but I -- the appellate process is different from the district court

process, and I certainly wouldn't be here with such a client at the district court level. But there's really very few outcomes at the appellate court. I mean if it's affirmed, it's affirmed; it's a victory for the plaintiffs, and we proceed. If it's reversed, then presumably, maybe Mr. Gentile will come out from where he is. I have no idea what will happen at that point.

THE COURT: Mr. Fleming, again, I'm not ruling as to what the Second Circuit would expect of counsel who appear before it. I leave that for you and them to determine.

MR. FLEMING: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: I think on this record an additional monetary sanction would not be effective in obtaining compliance with the restraining order, the subpoenas or the deposition notice.

I think that on this record it may be that the issuance of a bench warrant and potentially a term of imprisonment to coerce compliance with the requirements of this Court and the law may be the only outcome that might obtain compliance. Obviously, civil contempt law requires me to make a number of findings and for plaintiffs' counsel to make a number of showings before I would issue such a bench warrant. I bring to your attention just one case in this regard -- CBS, 814 F.3d 91, a Second Circuit decision from 2016. But I'm going to require a showing by you, Mr. Lopez, that you've

O7nWavaC

exhausted all methods of obtaining an effective avenue of communication with Mr. Gentile, including by reaching out to Mr. Ford and, if necessary, the SEC and learning what you can from the Florida action. But if you want to renew this application and make a proper showing, I will consider it.

MR. LOPEZ: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, all.

MR. FLEMING: Thank you, your Honor.

(Adjourned)